UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,810	01/16/2006	Junichiro Sugita	115990-004	3340
	7590 06/13/200 & LLOYD, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, IL	*	HESS, BRUCE H		
CHICAGO, IL	00090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/5	64,810	SUGITA ET AL.		
		Exa	niner	Art Unit		
		Bruc	e H. Hess	1794		
T	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	nication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address	
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provision: 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com od for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUI in no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed CONTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) files action is FINAL . Ice this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance ex	n is non-final. cept for formal m	•	he merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)	•	are withdrawn fro				
10)☐ The App Re	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are blicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawing the correction is	g(s) be held in abey required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

1. Claims 1-4 are again rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent 7,074,741 in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al. (JP 03-162991), Mori et al. (JP 05-330257), Josa et al. (JP 09-234963) or Simpson et al. (JP 10-157310) for the reasons of record.

Applicants' assertion to the contrary, the motivation to combine separately taught prior art anti-sticking agents (i.e., block copolymer silicone resin and phenoxy resin) resides in the fact that the aforementioned compounds perform the same function. <u>In re</u> Crockett, 126 USPQ 186. It is logical that such a combination would not only produce the same effect but would supplement each other. <u>In re</u> Crockett, <u>supra</u>.

Applicants' argument with respect to priority would be valid only if a terminal disclaimer of the present application had been filed in the later filed patent upon which double patenting is based. See MPEP 1490, V.D. No such terminal disclaimer was filed in U.S. Patent 7.074.741.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Yokoyama et al. (JP 10-297123) in view of any of the patents to Hirota et al., Mori et al., Josa et al. or Simpson et al. for the reasons of record.

As noted above, the motivation to combine separately taught prior art antisticking agents (i.e., block copolymer silicone resin and phenoxy resin) resides in the fact that the aforementioned compounds perform the same function. In re Crockett, 126 USPQ 186. It is logical that such a combination would not only produce the same effect but would supplement each other. In re Crockett, supra.

10/564,810

Art Unit: 1794

Applicants' assertion to the contrary, the results listed in Table 2 fail to establish that unexpected results have been obtained with the claimed combination of block copolymer silicone resin and phenoxy resin anti-sticking agents since the separate materials were not tested alone in the same total amount of anti-sticking agents as employed in representative Example 1.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. /Bruce H Hess/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce H. Hess whose telephone number is (571)-272-1525. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571)-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bruce H Hess Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Bruce H Hess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794